Professional Portfolio Preparation



Purpose

- Facilitate continued professional development
- Provide an evaluation tool for rank or level advancement and periodic evaluation



Time Frame and Frequency

- Typically due the last Monday of September in the year prior to anticipated advancement in rank or level
- If a professor is at the highest academic rank and level, professional portfolios are submitted for review on a fiveyear cycle



Content

- Cover Page
- Table of Contents
- Vitae
- Teaching Philosophy
- Self-Assessment
- Professional Development Goals
- Chair's/Dean's Evaluation and FPC 2a-c
- Student Course Evaluations
- Peer Evaluations (3)
- Most Recent Promotions Committee Letter



Vitae

- Directory information
- Degrees earned
- Awards and recognitions
- Teaching and/or administrative experience
- Campus committee memberships (SAU)
- Research, publications, presentations, etc.
- Service activities (community, church, etc.)



Teaching Philosophy Essay

- Why you do what you do
 - Purpose of teaching in Christian IHE
 - Students and student needs
 - Beliefs and assumptions about student learning



Teaching Philosophy Essay

- For those teaching religion courses, also include in the essay personal alignment with the:
 - Fundamental Beliefs of the Seventh-day Adventist Church
 - Code of Pastoral Ethics
 - Code of Ethics for Seventh-day Adventist Educators
 - Academic and Theological Freedom and Accountability Statement
 - Methods of Bible Study document



Self-Assessment

- Teaching effectiveness
 - Student performance
 - Student perceptions
 - Peer evaluations
 - Dean's/Chair's evaluation
 - Application of philosophy of education
 - Optional teaching video
- Contributions to students, dept., univ., & community
- Scholarship and professional contributions
- Response to recommendations in prior FPC letter



Self-Assessment

- Teaching effectiveness for those teaching religion courses should also include an evaluation of alignment with the:
 - Fundamental Beliefs of the Seventh-day Adventist
 Church
 - Code of Pastoral Ethics
 - Code of Ethics for Seventh-day Adventist Educators
 - Academic and Theological Freedom and Accountability statement
 - Methods of Bible Study document



Professional Development Goals

- Immediate
- Five-year
- Long-term



smart-goals.jpeg (1920×1000) (pressablecdn.com)



Dean's/Chair's Evaluation

FPC-1 Form

Letter of evaluation and recommendation



FPC 1: Chair's or Dean's Evaluation of the Professor (rev Fall 2015)

Name of Faculty Member:	
Present Academic Rank:	Step:
School/Department:	
Dean/Chair:	

A. Teaching & Advising Effectiveness - Planning & Preparation

4 = Exemplary 3 = Expected 2 = Improvement Plan Needed 1 = Unacceptable NA = Unable to observe

Chair's/Dean's Evaluation	Observable Indicator
4 3 2 1 NA	Prepared and organized instruction
	Appropriate and creative use of a variety of pedagogical strate; (e.g. lecture, discussion, case study, group work, simulation, writing, group e solving use of models use of illustrations/stories "clicker" response class of



Student Course Evaluation Results

Course evaluation summary, Form FPC-2

 Course evaluations for all courses, with students' comments, for 2 previous semesters



00000



Power for Mind & Soul

Professor

	F19	S19	W19	F18	S18	W18	F17	S17	W17	F16	S16	W16	F15	S15	W15
NOND-085-A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	-	-	5.00 (13)	-	-
NOND-086-A	4.86 (14)	-	-	4.93 (14)	-	-	5.00 (9)	-	-	5.00 (7)	-	-	-	-	-
NOND-117-A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.97 (29)	-	-	4.93 (40)
NOND-117-B	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.72 (32)	4.87 (30)	-	-
NOND-124-A	-	-	-	-	-	4.73 (37)	-	-	-	-	-	4.61 (31)	-	-	4.67 (30)
NOND-125-A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.75 (8)	-	-	-	-	4.80 (15)
NOND-195-A	4.72 (18)	-	-	4.75 (36)	-	-	4.85 (26)	-	-	4.69 (32)	-	-	4.69 (48)	-	4.89 (35)
NOND-225-A	-	-	-	-	4.94 (34)	4.86 (44)	-	-	4.80 (41)	-	4.78 (23)	4.88 (43)	-	-	-
NOND-255-A	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4.80 (40)	-	-	-	4.79 (33)	-	-
NOND-275-A	-	-	4.92 (49)	-	-	-	4.90 (52)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOND-275-B	-	-	4.85 (47)	-	-	4.88 (51)	-	-	4.69 (39)	4.74 (42)	-	-	-	-	-
NOND-315-A	-	-	-	-	=	-	4.88 (48)	-	4.80 (40)	=	-	-	-	-	=
NOND-315-B	-	_	-	-	-	4.93 (40)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Peer Evaluations (3)

 Peer evaluation on FPC-3 form from ONE faculty member within the school or department

 Peer evaluations on FPC-3 forms from TWO faculty members outside the school/department



FPC-3a: Peer Evaluation Form

Professor			
Peer Evaluator			
1			

The evaluator should base his or her comments on first-hand observations of the professor's teaching least one full class session should be observed. Observation of two sessions will provide even a better snapshot of the professor's teaching. A peer conference is strongly encouraged following the last classroom visit in order to clarify observations and to engage in collegial discussion regarding the application of effective teaching practices.

Course Number/Title		
Evaluation Dates	Time of Day	Students Present

4 = Exceptional

3 = Expected

2 = Improvement Plan Needed

1= Unac

 Organization of presentation: Comments:



Power for Mind & Soul

Promotions Committee Letter

 If a prior portfolio was submitted for review, a copy of the letter from the most recent review by the Faculty Promotions Committee should be submitted with your portfolio.



Instructions for Portfolio

http://www.southern.edu/administration/academic-administration/

- Faculty Information
 - Forms for Faculty
 - Forms Relating to Professional Development
 - Professional Portfolio Instructions
 - Professional Portfolio Evaluation Form



Other Forms

- FPC-1 Chair's/Dean's Evaluation Form
- FPC-2a Course Evaluation Item #2
 (The instructor is effective in teaching the subject matter.)
- FPC-2b Course Evaluation Item #6
 (The instructor stimulates intellectual curiosity.)
- FPC-2c Course Evaluation Composite Score
- FPC-3 Peer Evaluation Form
 (1 peer evaluator from the department, 2 peer evaluators from other departments)



Suggestions for Success

- Make sure all required components are included.
- Submit the components in order, numbering the pages and providing a table of contents.
- Make it look professional, and edit carefully for mechanical and grammatical errors.
- Be especially attentive to responding to feedback from students, peers, and the dean or chair, as well as suggestions from a prior review.



Questions?

